



Gosport Summer Passport 2012 Evaluation



Introduction



- ❖ Fifth year the scheme has been running
- ❖ First year across Gosport and Fareham
- ❖ Provides diversionary activities for young people of secondary school age
- ❖ Young people either had to attend school or live in Gosport or Fareham

Aims



- ❖ Reduce Anti-Social Behaviour, Criminal Damage and Deliberate Fires in Gosport and Fareham during the Summer Passport Scheme
- ❖ Target Hard to Reach Young People during the Summer Passport Scheme
- ❖ Encourage young people to participate in local activities and access local services following the Summer Passport Scheme

Scheme Overview



- ❖ Gosport registered 237 young people for the 2012 Summer Passport scheme
- ❖ Of these 59% were female and 41% were male
- ❖ The peak age of those who attended was 12 – 13 years accounting for 65.8%
- ❖ 98.7% of those who registered described their ethnicity as White-British
- ❖ 6.3% of those who registered described themselves as having a disability

Scheme Overview



- ❖ 39% attended Brune Park Community College, 27% attended Bay House School and 17% Bridgemy Community Sports College
- ❖ 15.2% have been identified as hard to reach. An increase from the 2011 baseline of 14%. Of those identified as hard to reach 44.4% attended four or more sessions
- ❖ Total cost of Summer Passport for Gosport was £10,567.55 with approximately £500 additional revenue raised through registration and final event ticket sales
- ❖ Overall a 2.1% reduction was seen for ASB, Criminal Damage and Deliberate Fires during the period the Summer Passport scheme was operating in comparison to 2011

Strengths



- ❖ Involved large numbers of young people
- ❖ Targeted hard to reach young people
- ❖ Well supported by partners and agencies
- ❖ Budget came in lower than previous years
- ❖ Positive promotion by local media
- ❖ Good support and links in with local clubs
- ❖ Registration process was quicker and more efficient than previous years
- ❖ Involvement of Asda was positive both financially and for staffing resource

Weaknesses



- ❖ Gosport numbers were lower than previous years
- ❖ Scheme was ran at the end of the summer holidays due to the Olympics
- ❖ Loss of momentum caused by venues being across too large a geographic area
- ❖ Wettest summer recorded for 100 years
- ❖ Difficulties working across two CSPs due to differing operational policies
- ❖ Pre-registration process resource intensive and not well supported by all schools
- ❖ 11-17 age range needs clarifying as can cause confusion

Opportunities



- ❖ Corporate approach across GBC would assist with future funding and strengthen staff resources
- ❖ Strengthen Young Persons Steering Group
- ❖ Key contact to be correctly identified for secondary schools
- ❖ Prize draw to encourage pre-registration
- ❖ Promote the scheme in new ways ie. Revolution Radio & Big Day Out
- ❖ Gosport Leisure Centre potential venue, sponsorship, links to local clubs
- ❖ Funding opportunities through PCC and local Housing Associations

Threats



- ❖ Funding cuts – No Community Safety Budget from 2013
- ❖ Staffing cuts – Loss of dedicated co-ordinator, HFRS Youth Engagement post and LCP co-ordinators
- ❖ Failure to secure corporate support in relation to funding and staffing

Key Recommendations



- ❖ Passport returns to its original format of being a Gosport scheme ran for Gosport young people
- ❖ Passport to return to the first three weeks of the summer holidays – provisional dates are from the 30th July – 15th August on Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday's
- ❖ Work closely with Fareham CSP to ensure that the schemes run by both CSPs are complementary
- ❖ Link in with other GBC departments such as Economic Prosperity and Housing to pool resources and staffing
- ❖ Explore funding opportunities with the new PCC and local Housing Organisations
- ❖ Contact to be made with local clubs and organisations to establish if Passport was a successful platform for increasing membership and awareness of their services